

Oberlin

Digital Commons at Oberlin

Faculty & Staff Scholarship

7-1-2011

Schizophrenia- Like Attentional Deficits Following Blockade Of Prefrontal Cortex Gaba(a) Receptors

Tracie A. Paine
Oberlin College

Lauren E. Slipp

William A. Carlezon Jr.

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.oberlin.edu/faculty_schol



Part of the [Neuroscience and Neurobiology Commons](#)

Repository Citation

Paine, Tracie A., Lauren E. Slipp, and William A. Carlezon, Jr. 2011. "Schizophrenia- Like Attentional Deficits Following Blockade Of Prefrontal Cortex Gaba(a) Receptors." *Neuropsychopharmacology* 36(8): 1703-1713.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Digital Commons at Oberlin. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty & Staff Scholarship by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons at Oberlin. For more information, please contact megan.mitchell@oberlin.edu.

**Schizophrenia-like attentional deficits following blockade of prefrontal cortex
GABA_A receptors**

Tracie A. Paine^{1,2}, Lauren E. Slipp¹ and William A. Carlezon, Jr.¹

¹Behavioral Genetics Laboratory, Department of Psychiatry, Harvard Medical School,
McLean Hospital, Belmont MA 02478, USA

²Department of Neuroscience, Oberlin College, Oberlin OH 44074, USA

Abbreviated Title: Attention deficits following PFC GABA_A antagonism

Text:

Words in Abstract: 232

Words in Introduction: 529

Words in Materials and Methods: 1669

Words in Discussion: 2265

Tables: 1

Figures: 6

Corresponding Author:

Tracie A. Paine

Department of Neuroscience

Oberlin College

Science Center A241

119 Woodland St.

Oberlin, OH 44074

Email: tpaine@oberlin.edu

Abstract

Attentional deficits are a core symptom of schizophrenia. Post-mortem analyses of the brains of schizophrenics reveal consistent abnormalities in γ -aminobutyric acid (GABA) interneurons indicative of reduced cortical GABA transmission, raising the possibility that this pathology contributes to attentional deficits. We examined whether blockade of prefrontal cortex (PFC) GABA_A receptors with bicuculline (BMI) impairs attention in rats using the 5-choice serial reaction time task (5CSRTT). For comparison, we also examined whether administration of the GABA_A receptor agonist muscimol (MUS) would improve attention. In parallel we examined the effects of both manipulations on activity in an open field and on motivation using the intracranial self-stimulation (ICSS) test. BMI increased PFC neuronal activity, as reflected by increased Fos-immunolabeling, and impaired attention, as reflected by decreased accuracy and increased omissions. **Although increased omissions also may reflect reductions in locomotor activity or motivation, the overall pattern of effects does not support either of these interpretations: BMI did not affect locomotor activity, and it enhanced motivation in the ICSS test.** MUS did not affect attention, although it increased impulsive behavior at a dose that suppressed PFC neuronal activity, as reflected by decreased Fos-immunolabeling. These impulsivity effects are not due to altered locomotor activity (which was decreased) or motivation (which was not affected). Our data support the hypothesis that cortical GABA neurons play an important role in regulating attention and may have direct implications for the treatment of schizophrenia.

Keywords: attention, impulse control, GABA, bicuculline, muscimol, schizophrenia

Introduction

Cognitive deficits, including attentional deficits, are a core feature of schizophrenia (<http://www.nimh.nih.gov/publicat/schizoph.cfm>) and are refractory to currently available antipsychotic treatments. In schizophrenia, cognitive impairments predate the illness onset, are stable across phases of illness, and are present in non-schizophrenic first-degree relatives of people with schizophrenia (Cornblatt et al., 2001; Chen et al., 2000). Furthermore, cognitive deficits predict patient difficulties in maintaining employment, living independently and having meaningful social interactions (Green et al., 2004). Understanding the biological bases of these deficits may lead to the development of more efficacious treatments for this devastating disorder.

Pathology in γ -aminobutyric acid (GABA) neurons is one of the most reliable abnormalities found in post-mortem analyses of the schizophrenic brain (Lewis et al., 2005; Benes, 2010). For example, reduced gene expression of the 67-kilodalton isoform of the GABA synthesizing enzyme glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD67) and a corresponding reduction in gene expression of the GABA uptake transporter (GAT1) are observed in the prefrontal cortex (PFC) (Volk et al., 2000; Volk et al., 2001; for review, see Lewis et al., 2005). These findings suggest reductions in the synthesis, release, and reuptake of GABA in the brains of schizophrenics.

Within the prefrontal cortex (PFC), GABA neurons regulate the activity of efferent glutamatergic pyramidal neurons (Markram et al., 2004; White, 1989). This microcircuitry is essential for establishing neural synchrony (i.e., gamma oscillations) within cortical networks, including that evoked during attentional tasks (Bartos et al., 2007; Gruber et al., 1999; Steinmetz et al., 2000). Notably, people with schizophrenia

exhibit reduced evoked gamma oscillations during cognitive tasks (Cho et al., 2006; Gonzalez-Burgos and Lewis, 2008). Collectively, these findings raise the possibility that reduced GABA-mediated inhibition leads to reductions in neural synchrony and the cognitive deficits that are characteristic of schizophrenia.

Research in laboratory animals provides support for the putative role of GABA neurons in the regulation of cognitive function. For example, blockade of cortical GABA_A receptors impairs working memory in monkeys (Sawaguchi et al., 1989) and attentional set-shifting in rats (Enomoto et al., 2011). The purpose of the current experiment was to extend this research by determining if blockade of cortical GABA_A receptors with bicuculline (BMI) impairs visuospatial attention in the 5-choice serial reaction time task (5CSRTT) in rats. **The 5CSRTT is analogous to the continuous performance task (CPT), which is used to quantify sustained attention in humans (Robbins, 2002). Importantly, individuals with schizophrenia exhibit attentional deficits on the CPT (Cornblatt et al., 2001; Chen et al., 2000), providing a strong rationale for using the 5CSRTT to study the neurobiology of this disorder.** For comparison, we investigated whether low dose administration of the GABA_A receptor agonist muscimol (MUS) could enhance attentional performance. Because high doses of MUS can inhibit PFC cortical activity, we speculated that high doses of MUS would impair 5CSRTT performance in a manner similar to that observed following PFC lesions (Chudasama et al., 2003; Passetti et al., 2002; Pezze et al., 2009; Muir et al., 1996). We used additional tests (open field, intracranial self-stimulation [ICSS]) to determine if these treatments can cause changes in locomotor activity or motivation, both of which can affect performance in the 5CSRTT.

Materials and Methods

Rats

Twenty-nine adult male Sprague-Dawley rats (Charles River Laboratories, Raleigh NC) were used. Procedures began on approximately post-natal day 60.

The rats used in the 5CSRTT and open field tests were housed in pairs whereas those used in the ICSS test were housed singly. All rats were maintained on a 12-h/12-h light-dark cycle (lights on at 0700h). Rats were given 1 week to acclimate to the housing conditions with free access to food (Purina Rat Chow) and water. Rats tested in the 5CSRTT were food restricted to 85% of their free-feeding weights starting 24-h prior to the onset of training. All rats had free access to water while in the home cage.

Experiments were conducted in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (National Academy Press, 1996) and McLean Hospital policies.

Drugs

(-)-Bicuculline methiodide (BMI) and muscimol (MUS) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis MO). Drugs were dissolved in physiological saline (0.9%).

The dose of BMI was based upon its salt form.

Surgery

Rats were anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (65 mg/kg, IP) and treated with atropine sulfate (0.25 mg/kg, SC) to minimize bronchial secretions. Rats were implanted with bilateral guide cannulae (26-gauge; Plastics One, Roanoke, VA) that

were situated at the border of infralimbic (IL) and prelimbic cortex (PrL) cortices (relative to bregma: AP=+3.2, ML=±0.75, DV=-1.8 mm from dura [Paxinos and Watson, 1997]). Obturators and injector needles (33-gauge) extended 1.5 mm below the guide cannulae, into the IL/PrL. Rats in the ICSS experiment were simultaneously implanted with a unilateral monopolar, stainless-steel electrode (0.25-mm diameter; Plastics One, Roanoke, VA) aimed at the right medial forebrain bundle at the level of the lateral hypothalamus (relative to bregma: AP=-2.8, ML=1.6, DV=-7.8 from dura). The electrodes were coated with polyamide insulation except at the flattened tip. Skull screws (one of which served as the ground) and the electrode were secured to the skull with dental acrylic.

The 5-choice serial reaction time task (5CSRTT)

We trained the rats as described previously (Paine et al., 2009). Sessions started with the delivery of 1 food pellet (45-mg, Bio-Serv, Frenchtown NJ); the first trial commenced upon retrieval. A nose poke into the magazine initiated a 5-sec inter-trial interval (ITI) and illumination of the house light. At the end of the ITI, a 1.0-sec light stimulus was presented at the rear of one of the five stimulus locations (apertures). Rats had up to 5 sec (limited hold) to make a response. A response in the illuminated aperture (correct response) triggered delivery of 1 food pellet and illumination of the magazine light, which remained illuminated for 5 sec following pellet delivery. Nose pokes in the remaining apertures were considered incorrect responses and triggered a 5-sec time-out (TO) during which the house light was extinguished. Similarly, failure to respond during the limited hold (i.e., an omission) triggered a 5-sec TO. The

subsequent trial was initiated at the end of the TO period. Responses occurring during the ITI were considered premature responses and also triggered a 5-sec TO; the same trial was re-started at the end of the TO period. Responses occurring during the TO period had no programmed consequences. Sessions ended after 90 trials or 30 min. Performance measures of interest were: % accuracy ((correct responses/ [correct + incorrect responses])*100), % omissions ([omissions/ trials completed]*100), premature responses, magazine entries, correct response latency (the time from the stimulus onset to a correct response) and reward latency (the time from a correct response to the collection of the food). Subjects were considered to have acquired the task when their accuracy was greater than 60% (chance performance in this test is 20%) and omissions were fewer than 20% for 5 consecutive days. Upon reaching criterion performance, the rats underwent surgery to implant guide cannulae.

Rats (n=17) were allowed to recover for 7 days and then were re-stabilized for 5 days prior to drug testing. To habituate rats to the infusion procedure they were first infused with vehicle (VEH, 0.9% physiological saline); data from this session was discarded. On test days, rats were infused with either BMI (0, 6.25, 12.5 or 25.0 ng/0.5 µl/side) or MUS (0, 6.25, 12.5, 25.0 or 50.0 ng/0.5 µl/side) immediately prior to testing on the 5CSRTT. Drug doses for half of the rats tested with each drug were administered in an ascending order, while the remainder of the rats received drug doses in a descending order. There was a minimum of two drug-free days between infusions.

Locomotor Activity in an Open Field

Following completion of dose-response relationships in the 5CSRTT, locomotor activity was quantified in an open field. Locomotor activity was recorded in automated (43.2 X 43.2 cm) activity chambers (MED Associates, St. Albans, VT). Each locomotor activity session consisted of a 30 min habituation period, after which the rat was removed from the chamber and administered a drug infusion. Immediately following the infusion the rat was returned to the activity chamber for a 30 min test period. Activity was assessed twice for each rat, once when VEH was infused and once when either BMI (25.0 ng/0.5 μ l/side) or MUS (50.0 ng/0.5 μ l/side) was infused. The rats were tested according to their previous history (e.g., rats that had received BMI in the 5CSRTT experiment were administered BMI during locomotor activity testing). The order of vehicle versus drug administration was counterbalanced across rats. Activity sessions were separated by at least 3-4 drug-free days.

Intracranial Self-Stimulation

Rats (n=12) used for the ICSS studies had not been used in the other tests. The rats were trained on a continuous reinforcement schedule (FR1) to respond for brain stimulation (Carlezon and Chartoff, 2007; Paine et al., 2009). Lever pressing resulted in the illumination of a 2-W house light and immediate delivery of a 0.5-s train of square-wave cathodal pulses (0.1-ms pulse duration) at a set frequency of 141 Hz. Responses during the 0.5-s stimulation period did not earn additional stimulation. The stimulation current (200–350 μ A) was adjusted gradually to the lowest value that would sustain a reliable rate of responding (at least 40 rewards per minute).

Once rats reliably lever pressed for stimulation, they were adapted to lever press for a descending series of stimulation frequencies. Each series consisted of 15 1-min trials at each frequency. Each trial consisted of a 5-s “priming” phase during which non-contingent stimulation was given, followed by a 50-s test phase during which the number of responses was counted and ended with a 5-s time-out period during which no stimulation was available. The stimulation frequency was then lowered by ~10% (0.05 log₁₀ units) and another trial was started. After responding had been evaluated at each of the 15 frequencies, the procedure was repeated such that each rat was given 4 series per day (60 min of training). To characterize the functions relating response strength to reward magnitude, a least-squares line of best fit was plotted across the frequencies that sustained responding at 20, 30, 40, 50 and 60% of the maximum rate using customized analysis software. The threshold for responding was defined as the frequency at which the line intersected the x-axis (theta-0). Drug testing started when mean thresholds varied by less than ±15% over three consecutive training sessions.

Drug effects were quantified using the “curve-shift” method of analysis (Carlezon and Chartoff, 2007; Paine et al., 2009). **This method can distinguish changes in motivation (as reflected by alterations in theta-0) from changes in response capabilities (as reflected by alterations in maximum response rates) (see Carlezon and Chartoff, 2007).** For each rat, three rate-frequency functions (“curves”) were determined immediately prior to drug infusions. The second and third curves were averaged to obtain the baseline (threshold and maximal response rate) parameters. Each rat was then infused with either BMI (0-25.0 ng/0.5 μl/side) or MUS (0-50.0 ng/0.5 μl/side) and tested for an additional 15-min rate-frequency curve. Rats were tested with

both BMI and MUS; rats were given at least 7-10 drug-free days before testing on the alternate drug. Doses of each drug were administered in either an ascending or descending fashion (counterbalanced across rats); tests with each drug dose were separated by at least two drug-free days.

Histology

Following the last test session rats were anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (130 mg/kg, IP) and transcardially perfused with 0.9% NaCl followed by 4% paraformaldehyde. A subset of animals was perfused 90 min following infusions of BMI, MUS, or VEH; the brains from these animals were used for Fos immunohistochemistry (see below). Following perfusions, brains were removed, post-fixed for 24 h and then cryoprotected in 30% sucrose prior to slicing on a microtome. Sections (40 μ m) were mounted on slides, stained with cresyl violet and placements assessed.

Fos Immunohistochemistry

Free-floating sections (40 μ m) from animals perfused 90 min following infusions were used to confirm changes in neuronal activity following manipulations of GABA_A receptor activation. Briefly, endogenous peroxidase activity was quenched by incubation in 0.3% H₂O₂ and then non-specific binding was blocked by a 2 h incubation in AB media (0.3% Triton X-100, 2% normal goat serum (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and 1% bovine serum albumin (Sigma) in 0.01 M Tris buffered saline). Sections were then incubated overnight with a polyclonal rabbit anti-c-Fos antibody (PC38T, Calbiochem, La Jolla, CA; 1:10,000 diluted in AB media). The following day sections were washed,

incubated for 1 h at room temperature in biotinylated goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G secondary antibody (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA; 1:200 diluted in AB media) and then incubated with avidin-biotin-peroxidase complex (Vectastain ABC Elite kit; Vector Laboratories) for 30 min at room temperature. Finally, sections were visualized using 0.05% 3,3'-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride containing 0.01% H₂O₂ (Vector Laboratories) for 10 min. Rinsing in Tris buffered saline terminated the reaction.

Statistical Analyses

5CSRTT data and ICSS data were analyzed using separate one-way repeated measures ANOVAs with Dose as the within-subjects factor. Locomotor activity data from habituation and test periods were analyzed separately using 2-way repeated measures ANOVAs with Condition (VEH, Drug [BMI or MUS]) and Time (10, 20, 30 min) as within-subjects factors. **Significant effects were further analyzed using Bonferroni Multiple Comparison post-hoc tests.**

Results

Histology

Of the 29 rats tested, four animals were excluded (n = 1 for the BMI 5CSRTT/locomotor activity; n = 1 for the MUS 5CSRTT/locomotor activity; and n = 2 for the ICSS experiment) from statistical analyses because their cannulae placements were outside the IL/PrL (**Figure 1, Figure 2A-B**).

Fos immunohistochemistry was used to confirm that the BMI and MUS infusions had the expected effects on PFC neuronal activity. Microinfusion of BMI (25 ng/0.5

μl/side) increased Fos-immunolabeling (**Figure 2D**), whereas MUS (50 ng/0.5 μl/side) decreased Fos-immunolabeling (**Figure 2F**) relative to that observed when VEH was infused (**Figure 2E**). These data confirm that BMI and MUS infusions altered neuronal activity at doses that were effective in the behavioral experiments.

5-Choice Serial Reaction Time Task (5CSRTT)

Intra-PFC administration of BMI ($n = 7$) affected accuracy ($F[3, 18] = 3.20, P < .05$, **Figure 3**), omissions ($F[3, 18] = 30.67, P < .01$), and reward retrieval latency ($F[3, 18] = 4.41, P < .05$). BMI (25.0 ng) decreased accuracy and increased the reward retrieval latency compared to VEH (all P s $< .05$). BMI (12.5-25.0 ng) increased omissions compared to VEH (all P s $< .05-.01$). All other measures were unaffected by BMI administration (all F s[3, 18] < 2.48 , n.s., **Figure 3, Table I**).

Intra-PFC administration of MUS ($n = 8$) affected premature responses ($F[4, 28] = 5.89, P < .05$, **Figure 4**); MUS (50.0 ng) increased premature responses compared to VEH ($P < .05$). All other measures were unaffected by MUS administration (all F s[4, 28] < 1.07 , n.s., **Figure 4, Table I**).

Locomotor Activity

Across the habituation period prior to BMI and VEH infusions, locomotor activity significantly decreased ($F[2, 12] = 67.40, P < .01$, **Figure 5A**); activity was higher during the first 10-min compared to the remainder of the habituation period (both P s $< .01$). However, activity did not differ between the habituation period prior to VEH infusions as compared to that prior to BMI infusions ($F[1, 6] < 1.0$, n.s.), nor was there a significant

Condition X Time interaction ($F[2, 12] < 1.0$, n.s.). Activity significantly decreased across the test period ($F[2, 12] = 9.67$, $P < .01$); activity was higher during the first 10-min compared to the remainder of the test period (both P s $< .01$). Activity was not different when VEH was infused as compared to when BMI was infused ($F[1, 6] < 1.0$, n.s.), nor was there a significant Condition X Time interaction ($F[2, 12] < 1.0$, n.s.).

Across the habituation period prior to MUS and VEH infusions, locomotor activity significantly decreased ($F[2, 14] = 123.34$, $P < .01$, **Figure 5B**); activity was higher during the first 10-min compared to the remainder of the habituation period (both P s $< .01$). Activity did not differ between the habituation period prior to VEH infusions as compared to that prior to MUS infusions ($F[1, 7] < 1.0$, n.s.), nor was there a Condition X Time interaction ($F[2, 14] < 1.0$, n.s.). Activity decreased across the test period ($F[2, 14] = 29.87$, $P < .01$); activity was higher during the first 10-min compared to the remainder of the test period (both P s $< .01$). During the test period activity was significantly higher when VEH infused as compared to when MUS was infused ($F[1, 7] = 14.75$, $P < .01$, **Figure 5B Inset**), but there was not a significant Condition X Time interaction ($F[2, 14] = 3.19$, n.s.).

Intracranial self-stimulation

A total of 10 rats were included in this portion of the experiment. Intra-PFC infusions of BMI affected the threshold amount of stimulation required to maintain lever pressing (i.e., Theta 0) ($F[3, 27] = 6.60$, $P < .01$, **Figure 6A-C**), without affecting maximum rates of responding ($F[3, 27] = 1.58$, n.s.). Intra-PFC infusions of 25 ng BMI significantly decreased the amount of stimulation required to maintain lever pressing

compared to VEH infusions (BMI 0 ng; $P < .01$). In contrast, intra-PFC infusions of MUS did not affect either ICSS thresholds or maximum rates of responding ($F_s[4, 36] < 1.3$, n.s., **Figure 6D-F**).

Discussion

Cortical GABAergic interneurons provide recurrent inhibition to pyramidal neurons, an effect that is postulated to stabilize cortical networks allowing for optimal cognitive performance (Winterer and Weinberger, 2004). It has been proposed that disruptions in GABA transmission underlie cognitive deficits in schizophrenia (Lewis et al., 2005). Here we show that blockade of cortical GABA_A receptors with BMI caused a non-selective increase in cortical activity (as indicated by increased Fos immunolabeling) and impaired attention as measured by reduced accuracy of responding and increased omissions.

Reductions in accuracy are the most reliable indicator of attentional deficits in the 5CSRTT (Robbins, 2002). Although the accuracy impairment observed following intra-PFC BMI infusions was relatively small (~10%), it is of a similar magnitude as that observed in some PFC lesion experiments (Passetti et al., 2002; Passetti et al., 2003b) and following other manipulations (Passetti et al., 2003a; Paine et al., 2007; Paine et al., 2009; Paine and Carlezon, 2009). Moreover, the accuracy impairment was observed in combination with increased omissions, another potential indicator of attentional deficits. Increased omissions in the 5CSRTT can be attributed to various factors including (i) attentional deficits (rats fail to detect the stimulus presentation), (ii) motivational deficits (rats are

unwilling to 'work' for the food pellet), or (iii) motor deficits (rats are unable to respond) (Robbins, 2002). The pattern of results in the current experiment suggest that the increased omissions following intra-PFC BMI infusions reflect attentional deficits. Although BMI infusions increased the latency to retrieve the sugar pellet reward, the effect was small (~300 ms) and rats exhibited normal food-seeking behavior (i.e., magazine entries). Moreover, BMI decreased brain reward thresholds in the ICSS test, an effect that reflects enhanced motivation (Carlezon and Chartoff, 2007). Thus it is unlikely that the increase in omissions results from decreased motivation to respond for a food reward. Increased omissions may also indicate reductions in activity or motor capabilities. Intra-PFC BMI infusions did not, however, affect the latency to make a correct response, impulsive behavior (i.e., premature responding) or food-seeking behavior in the 5CSRTT. Moreover, rats did not exhibit reductions in locomotor activity in the open field or in the capability to respond in the ICSS test. These data suggest that intra-PFC BMI infusions did not cause gross motor deficits that interfered with the ability to nose-poke in the presence of the stimulus. Combined with the small but significant deficit in accuracy, the increase in omissions observed following intra-PFC BMI infusions most likely reflects an attentional deficit.

Contrary to our hypothesis, stimulation of GABA_A receptors with microinjections of MUS did not affect attention at any dose tested. At the highest dose tested—which reduced PFC activity as indicated by decreased Fos immunolabeling—PFC MUS infusions increased impulsive behavior as indicated by an increase in

premature responding. Increased impulsivity-like behavior cannot be easily explained by either increased locomotor activity (locomotor activity was decreased following MUS infusions) or increased reward sensitivity (which was unaffected by MUS infusions). Failure to find attentional improvements following low dose MUS infusions may not be surprising given that MUS is a full agonist at GABA_A receptors, this likely results in non-selective suppression of cortical activity, rather than the selective suppression which is likely necessary in order to synchronize specific cortical networks. Future research investigating the role of positive allosteric modulators at GABA_A receptors, which may be better suited to selectively potentiate GABA_A receptor activation, is warranted. Moreover, the cognitive enhancing effects of positive allosteric modulators at GABA_A receptors may be more robust in models exhibiting cognitive impairments. Indeed, GABA_A positive allosteric modulators enhance novel object recognition in rats that exhibited performance deficits following chronic phencyclidine treatment, a rodent model of schizophrenia (Damgaard et al., 2011).

One limitation of our within-subject design is that the rats received several infusions of the GABA drugs. To mitigate any effects of repeated treatment on sensitivity to these drugs, we varied the order of treatment across subjects and ensured that there were drug-free days between tests. The locomotor activity and ICSS experiments were intended to identify major effects on motor capabilities or motivation that could complicate interpretation of the attention tests. It remains possible that more subtle changes in GABA receptor sensitivity could influence our results. Our data provide a rationale for more detailed studies that are designed specifically to evaluate if intra-PFC treatment with BMI or MUS cause

long-term changes in sensitivity to the behavioral effects of these drugs, and identify dose ranges of these drugs that are relevant for local microinfusions. Studies designed to evaluate experience-dependent alterations in drug sensitivity often involve repeated treatment and testing with a single dose (e.g., Todtenkopf and Carlezon, 2006) and thus are beyond the scope of the present work.

Role of the PFC in attention

The 5CSRTT quantifies sustained visuospatial attention and impulse control in rats and is analogous to the CPT used to quantify sustained attention in humans (Robbins, 2002). We observed that over-activation and inactivation of a region encompassing both dorsal and ventral portions of the medial PFC resulted in dissociable deficits in the 5CSRTT. We used alterations in the expression of Fos as an index of changes in the activity of these regions. Although Fos is widely accepted as an indicator of neuronal activation, there are limitations of this approach: as one example, Fos induction can occur by activation of intracellular signaling pathways that do not necessarily lead to neuronal activation (Hoffman and Lyo, 2002). This concern is mitigated in the present studies because the effects of MUS and BMI on cell activity are well characterized (Majchrzak et al., 2000; Sawaguchi et al., 1989) and the direction of our effects corresponds with existing literature on the effects of these drugs. Over-activation of the medial PFC impaired accuracy and increased omissions suggesting an attentional deficit, while inactivation of the medial PFC increased premature responding suggesting increased impulsive behavior. This contrasts with previous observations following excitotoxic

lesions: large lesions encompassing both dorsal and ventral subregions of the medial PFC lead to deficits in both cognitive domains, while those restricted to dorsal subregions (e.g., anterior cingulate cortex and/or PrL) induce attentional deficits and those restricted to ventral subregions (IL and/or orbitofrontal cortex) induce impulsive behavior (Chudasama et al., 2003; Passetti et al., 2002; Pezze et al., 2009; Muir et al., 1996). It is not entirely clear why temporary inactivation and excitotoxic lesions would result in disparate performance deficits in the 5CSRTT, but the discrepancy might be explained by compensatory changes that occur in projection areas following medial PFC lesions. The PFC has top-down control over a number of subcortical structures with a putative role in cognitive behavior (e.g., nucleus accumbens (NAc) and hippocampus); long-term removal of this control may alter firing patterns in these structures. For example, Pezze et al. (2009) suggested that both the attentional and impulse control deficits resulting from large medial PFC lesions are the result of increased D₂ receptor activation in the NAc. In contrast, temporary inactivation of the PFC decreases DA release, and hence receptor activation, in the NAc (Musare et al., 1993). Regardless, our Fos studies provide the basis for future studies in which electrophysiologic techniques are used to link changes in cellular activity with behavior.

Although our data indicate that the medial PFC mediates attention, they also suggest that it is not necessary for optimal attention in well-trained animals. When the medial PFC was temporarily taken “off-line” by high doses of MUS, attention was not impaired. In well-trained rats, subcortical structures may be sufficient to maintain attention via habit-like responding (Yin and Knowlton, 2006; Killcross and Coutureau, 2003). In contrast, attention was impaired when the PFC was non-specifically over

activated by BMI infusions. Not only would this over-activation disrupt neural synchrony within the PFC microcircuitry, but it would also disrupt synchrony within PFC target areas such as the NAc and hippocampus. It has been proposed that optimal attentional performance results from specific activation of 'task-relevant' pyramidal neurons and suppression of "task-irrelevant" pyramidal neurons by GABA-mediated recurrent-inhibition (Winterer and Weinberger, 2004); effectively this would result in activation of specific neurons in medial PFC target areas. Thus over-activation and asynchrony in the PFC would effectively lead to disruptions in neural synchrony within target structures. Currently, it is unclear if one specific projection area underlies the attentional deficits observed following PFC over-activation or whether these deficits result from disruptions across the entire neural network.

The current experiments provide new insight on how manipulations that affect intracellular signaling processes may cause attentional deficits. For example, disrupting the function of cyclic-AMP dependent protein kinase (PKA) or its downstream target CREB (cyclic AMP response element binding protein) within the PFC decreases accuracy and increases omissions in the 5CSRTT (Paine et al., 2009). Decreasing either PKA or CREB function decreases neuronal excitability (Dong et al., 2006; Lopez et al., 2007; Trantham-Davidson et al., 2007), but the manipulations used are not cell-type specific and may therefore decrease firing of either pyramidal neurons or GABA interneurons. Given the similarity between the attentional deficits observed following disruption of the PKA signaling pathway and those following GABA_A receptor antagonism, we speculate that disruption of either PKA or CREB function cause attentional deficits as a result of reduced GABA-mediated inhibition.

Although the goal of the current experiment was to investigate the putative role in GABA_A receptors in the attentional deficits observed in schizophrenia, attentional deficits are also involved in other psychiatric disorders (e.g., bipolar disorder, ADHD). Dysregulation of neuronal firing could result from dysfunction in a variety of neurotransmitter systems (e.g., dopamine, acetylcholine). Thus although other psychiatric disorders may have different pathologies, the net effect of these pathologies may result in cortical asynchrony and hence attentional deficits.

Role of the PFC in Impulsive Behavior and Reward

The medial PFC, through its interactions with the NAc and ventral tegmental area, is postulated to regulate both impulsive behavior (Pattij et al., 2007; Pezze et al., 2009; Pezze et al., 2007) and sensitivity to reward (Tzschentke, 2000). Moreover, impulsive action is hypothesized to be regulated, at least in part, by an increased motivation to obtain rewards (Fineberg et al., 2010). In the current experiment we observed a dissociation between cortical manipulations that affected impulsive behavior and those that affected reward sensitivity. Temporary inactivation of the medial PFC increased impulsive behavior without affecting sensitivity to reward, while over-action of the medial PFC increased sensitivity to reward without affecting impulsive behavior. Thus at least in the context of the 5CSRTT and ICSS, it appears that impulsivity and reward sensitivity can vary independently of one another.

Increased premature responding following temporary inactivation of the medial PFC is consistent with the hypothesized role of this brain area in the regulation of

impulsive behavior or the tendency toward rapid, unplanned actions (for review see Fineberg et al., 2010). Moreover, our findings are consistent with the observed increase in premature responding in the 5CSRTT following excitotoxic lesions of ventral portions of the medial PFC (Chudasama et al., 2003; Passetti et al., 2002; Pezze et al., 2009) and with the observed increase in premature responding during a “waiting task” following temporary inactivation of the PFC (Narayanan et al., 2006). The effects of excitotoxic lesions on premature responding have been attributed to increased activation of D₂ receptors in the NAc. Indeed, D₂ receptor antagonist infusions into the NAc normalize impulsive behavior (Pezze et al., 2009). Temporary inactivation of the PFC however, would be expected to decrease, rather than increase, NAc DA (Musare et al., 1993, see below). Thus the same mechanism cannot account for increased premature responding following temporary inactivation of the medial PFC. Nonetheless, temporary inactivation and increased NAc DA following cortical excitotoxic lesions may ultimately have the same effect on NAc medium spiny neurons (MSNs)—namely a reduction in firing rate. A reduction in excitatory drive to the NAc reduces MSN firing rate, as does increased D₂ receptor activation (Carlezon and Thomas, 2009; Surmeier et al., 2007). Thus reductions in MSN firing rate may underlie impulsive behavior that is observed following removal of the medial PFC.

Over-activation of the medial PFC increased sensitivity to reward. The regulation of reward by the medial PFC is thought to occur through a multisynaptic pathway involving the ventral tegmental area (VTA). Indeed, intra-PFC BMI infusions enhance burst firing of putative VTA DA neurons (Enomoto et al., 2011). Furthermore, electrical stimulation of the PFC increases DA release in the NAc, an effect that can be

attenuated via blockade of glutamate receptors in the VTA (Taber et al., 1995).

Similarly, application of glutamate to the PFC increases burst firing of VTA neurons and increases DA release in the NAc, while application of a local anesthetic to the PFC inhibits VTA neuron firing and reduces DA release in the NAc (Murase et al., 1993).

Conclusions

Acute blockade of cortical GABA_A receptors increased PFC activity and caused attentional deficits in the 5CSRTT. These findings add to a growing body of evidence supporting a role for GABA neuron dysfunction in the cognitive deficits observed in schizophrenia (see Lewis et al., 2005). **Attentional deficits are often observed in adolescents that are at-risk for developing schizophrenia before the onset of other signs of the disorder; following their onset, these deficits are stable across the course of illness (Cornblatt et al., 2001; Chen et al., 2000). Our studies in adult rats provide the basis for future research that examines the neurodevelopmental role of GABA dysfunction in the etiology of attentional deficits observed in schizophrenia.** In addition, we show that the utility of full GABA_A receptor agonists to treat such deficits is hampered the emergence unique cognitive deficits, raising the possibility that positive allosteric modulators at GABA_A receptors might represent an alternative method by which alterations in GABA receptor function could be utilized to reverse cognitive deficits in this schizophrenia.

Disclosure/Conflict of Interest

Dr. Carlezon holds US patents for novel treatments for depressive disorders. He has received compensation from Huya Bioscience, Infinity Pharmaceuticals, Lantheus Inc., Myneurolab.com, Psychogenics, and Transcept Inc within the last 3 years. Dr. Paine and Ms. Slipp have nothing to disclose.

Acknowledgements

Funded by a NARSAD Young Investigator Award (to TAP) and a grant from the National Institute of Mental Health (MH063266, to WAC). The authors would like to thank Anna Frackman and Lindsay Boven for their technical assistance.

References

- Benes FM (2010). Amygdalocortical circuitry in schizophrenia: from circuits to molecules. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **35**: 239-257.
- Bartos M, Vida I, Jonas P (2007). Synaptic mechanisms of synchronized gamma oscillations in inhibitory interneuron networks. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **8**: 45-56.
- Carlezon WA Jr, Chartoff EH (2007). Intracranial self-stimulation (ICSS) in rodents to study the neurobiology of motivation. *Nat Protocols* **2**: 2987-2995.
- Carlezon WA Jr, Thomas MJ (2009). Biological substrates of reward and aversion: a nucleus accumbens activity hypothesis. *Neuropharmacology* **56 Suppl 1**: 122-132.
- Chen WJ, Faraone SV (2000). Sustained attention deficits as markers of genetic susceptibility to schizophrenia. *Am J Med Genet C Semin Med Genet* **97**: 52-57.
- Cho RY, Konecky RO, Carter CS (2006). Impairments in frontal cortical gamma synchrony and cognitive control in schizophrenia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **103**: 19878-19883.
- Chudasama Y, Passetti F, Rhodes SE, Lopian D, Desai A, Robbins TW (2003). Dissociable aspects of performance on the 5-choice serial reaction time task following lesions of the dorsal anterior cingulate, infralimbic and orbitofrontal cortex in the rat: differential effects on selectivity, impulsivity and compulsivity. *Behav Brain Res* **146**: 105-119.
- Cornblatt BA, Malhotra AK (2001). Impaired attention as an endophenotype for molecular genetic studies of schizophrenia. *Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet* **105**: 11-15.

- Damgaard T, Plath N, Neill JC, Hansen SL (2011). Extrasynaptic GABA(A) receptor activation reverses recognition memory deficits in an animal model of schizophrenia. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* **214**: 403-413.
- Dong Y, Green T, Saal D, Marie H, Neve R, Nestler EJ, Malenka RC (2006). CREB modulates excitability of nucleus accumbens neurons. *Nature Neurosci* **9**: 475-477.
- Enomoto T, Tse MT, Floresco SB (2011). Reducing Gamma-aminobutyric acid induces cognitive, behavioral, and dopaminergic neuron abnormalities that resemble schizophrenia. *Biol Psychiatry* **69**: 432-441.
- Fineberg NA, Potenza MN, Chamberlain SR, Berlin HA, Menzies L, Bechara A, Sahakian BJ, Robbins TW, Bullmore ET, Hollander E (2010). Probing compulsive and impulsive behaviors, from animal models to endophenotypes: a narrative review. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **35**: 591-604.
- Gonzalez-Burgos G, Lewis DA (2008). GABA neurons and the mechanisms of network oscillations: implications for understanding cortical dysfunction in schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull* 2008;**34**: 944-961.
- Green MF, Kern RS, Heaton RK (2004). Longitudinal studies of cognition and functional outcome in schizophrenia: implications for MATRICS. *Schizophr Res* **72**: 41-51.
- Gruber T, Müller MM, Keil A, Elbert T (1999). Selective visual-spatial attention alters induced gamma band responses in the human EEG. *Clin Neurophysiol* **110**: 2074-2085.
- Lewis DA, Hashimoto T, Volk DW (2005). Cortical inhibitory neurons and schizophrenia. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **6**: 312-324.

- Lopez de Armentia M, Jancic D, Olivares R, Alarcon JM, Kandel ER, Barco A (2007). cAMP response element-binding protein-mediated gene expression increases the intrinsic excitability of CA1 pyramidal neurons. *J Neuroscience* **27**: 13909-13918.
- Majchrzak M, Di Scala G (2000). GABA and muscimol as reversible inactivation tools in learning and memory. *Neural Plast* **7**: 19-29.
- Markram H, Toledo-Rodriguez M, Wang Y, Gupta A, Silderberg G, Wu C (2004). Interneurons of the cortical inhibitory system. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **5**: 793-807.
- Muir JL, Everitt BJ, Robbins TW (1996). The cerebral cortex of the rat and visual attentional function: dissociable effects of mediofrontal, cingulate, anterior dorsolateral, and parietal cortex lesion on a five-choice serial reaction time task. *Cereb Cortex* **6**: 470-481.
- Murase S, Grenhoff J, Chouvet G, Gonon FG, Svensson TH (1993). Prefrontal cortex regulates burst firing and transmitter release in rat mesolimbic dopamine neurons studied in vivo. *Neurosci Lett* **157**: 53-56.
- Narayanan NS, Horst NK, Lauback M (2006). Reversible inactivations of the rat medial prefrontal cortex impair the ability to wait for a stimulus. *Neuroscience* **139**: 865-876.
- National Academy Press (1996). Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. National Academy Press: Washington, DC.
- Nemeth CL, Paine TA, Rittiner JE, Béguin C, Carroll FI, Roth BL, Cohen BM, Carlezon WA Jr (2010). Role of kappa-opioid receptors in the effects of salvinorin A and ketamine on attention in rats. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* **210**: 263-274.

Paine TA, Tomasiewicz HC, Zhang K, Carlezon WA Jr (2007). Sensitivity of the five-choice serial reaction time task to the effects of various psychotropic drugs in Sprague-Dawley rats. *Biol Psychiatry* **62**:687-693.

Paine TA, Neve RL, Carlezon WA Jr (2009). Attention deficits and hyperactivity following inhibition of cAMP-dependent protein kinase (PKA) within the medial prefrontal cortex of rats. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **34**: 2143-2155.

Paine TA, Carlezon WA Jr (2009). Effects of antipsychotic drugs on MK-801-induced attentional and motivational deficits in rats. *Neuropharmacology* **56**: 788-797.

Passetti F, Chudasama Y, Robbins TW (2002). The frontal cortex of the rat and visual attentional performance: dissociable functions of distinct medial prefrontal subregions. *Cerebrl Cortex* **12**: 1254-1268.

Passetti F, Dalley JW, Robbins TW (2003a). Double dissociation of serotonergic and dopaminergic mechanisms on attentional performance using a rodent five-choice reaction time task. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* **165**:136-45.

Passetti F, Levita L, Robbins TW (2003b). Sulpiride alleviates the attentional impairments of rats with medial prefrontal cortex lesions. *Behav Brain Res* **138**:59-69.

Pattij T, Janssen MCW, Vanderschuren LJMJ, Schoffelmeer ANM, van Gaalen MM (2007). Involvement of dopamine D₁ and D₂ receptors in the nucleus accumbens core and shell in inhibitory response control. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* **191**: 587-598.

Paxinos, G, Watson C (1997). The rat brain in stereotaxic coordinates, 3rd Ed. Academic Press: Orlando, FL.

- Pezze MA, Dalley JW, Robbins TW (2009). Remediation of attentional dysfunction in rats with lesions of the medial prefrontal cortex by intra-accumbens administration of the dopamine D_{2/3} receptor antagonist sulpiride. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* **202**: 307-313.
- Pezze MA, Dalley JW, Robbins TW (2007). Differential roles of dopamine D1 and D2 receptors in the nucleus accumbens in attentional performance on the five-choice serial reaction time task. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **32**: 273-283.
- Robbins TW (2002). The 5-choice serial reaction time task: behavioural pharmacology and functional neurochemistry. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* **163**: 362-380.
- Sawaguchi T, Matsumura M, Kubota K (1989). Delayed response deficits produced by local injection of bicuculline into the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex in Japanese macaque monkeys. *Exp Brain Res* **75**: 457-469.
- Steinmetz PN, Roy A, Fitzgerald PJ, Hsiao SS, Johnson KO, Niebur E (2000). Attention modulates synchronized neuronal firing in primate somatosensory cortex. *Nature* **404**: 187-190.
- Surmeier DJ, Ding J, Day M, Wang Z, Shen W (2007). D1 and D2 dopamine-receptor modulation of striatal glutamatergic signaling in striatal medium spiny neurons. *Trends Neurosci* **30**: 228-235.
- Taber MT, Das S, Fibiger HC (1995). Cortical regulation of subcortical dopamine release: mediation via the ventral tegmental area. *J Neurochem* **65**: 1407-1410.
- Todtenkopf MS, Carlezon WA Jr (2006). Contribution of drug doses and conditioning periods to psychomotor stimulant sensitization. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* **185**: 451-458.

- Trantham-Davidson H, Kröner S, Seamans JK (2007). Dopamine modulation of prefrontal cortex interneurons occurs independently of DARPP-32. *Cereb Cortex* **18**: 951-958.
- Tzschentke TM (2000). The medial prefrontal cortex as a part of the brain reward system. *Amino Acids* **19**: 211-219.
- Volk DW, Austin MC, Pierri JN, Sampson AR, Lewis DA (2000). Decreased glutamic acid decarboxylase67 messenger RNA expression in a subset of prefrontal cortical gamma-aminobutyric acid neurons in subjects with schizophrenia. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* **57**: 237-245.
- Volk D, Austin M, Pierri J, Sampson A, Lewis D (2001). GABA transporter-1 mRNA in the prefrontal cortex in schizophrenia: decreased expression in a subset of neurons. *Am J Psychiatry* **158**: 256-265.
- White EL (1989). *Cortical Circuits: Synaptic Organization of the Cerebral Cortex, Structure, Function and Theory*. Birkhauser: Boston, MA.
- Winterer G, Weinberger DR (2004). Genes, dopamine and cortical signal-to-noise ratio in schizophrenia. *Trends Neurosci* **27**: 683-690.
- Yin HH, Knowlton BJ (2006). The role of the basal ganglia in habit formation. *Nat Rev* **7**: 464-476.

Table I. Effects of BMI and MUS on 5CSRTT Performance

	Magazine Entries	Correct Latency (sec)
BMI		
0.0	103.7 ± 9.3	0.81 ± 0.6
6.25	129.9 ± 22.7	0.75 ± 0.06
12.5	163.9 ± 28.2	0.97 ± 0.04
25.0	116.4 ± 19.9	0.94 ± 0.15
MUS		
0.0	107.9 ± 15.8	0.84 ± 0.04
6.25	107.3 ± 17.0	0.77 ± 0.04
12.5	95.0 ± 13.3	0.80 ± 0.04
25.0	96.1 ± 12.3	0.80 ± 0.07
50.0	100.4 ± 16.4	0.80 ± 0.06

Note: Neither magazine entries or the correct response latency were affected by intra-PFC infusions of bicuculline (BMI) or muscimol (MUS).

Figure Captions

Fig 1. Schematic drawing showing the location of injector tips in the medial PFC of rats infused with bicuculline (left, n=7) or muscimol (right, n=8) and tested in the 5-choice serial reaction time task. Rats were excluded (not shown) if their tips were not within the boundary of either the prelimbic (PrL) or infralimbic (IL) cortex. Numbers on the left indicate location forward from bregma. Adapted from Paxinos and Watson (1997).

Fig 2. Effects of manipulating cortical GABA_A receptor activation on c-Fos expression.

A) Schematic of the PFC approximating location of cannulae placements (Paxinos and Watson, 1997). Box indicates field of view in Panel B. B) Representative cannula placements indicating guide cannulae and obturator; injectors were the same length as the obturator. C) Schematic of the PFC approximating the field of view in Panels D-F (Paxinos and Watson, 1997). Intra-PFC infusions of the GABA_A receptor antagonist bicuculline (BMI; 25.0 ng/0.5 μl/side) increased c-Fos expression (D), while intra-PFC infusions of the GABA_A receptor agonist muscimol (MUS; 50.0 ng/0.5 μl/side) decreased c-Fos expression (F) relative to vehicle (VEH) infusions (E). All infusions were administered 90 min before the rats were killed. PrL, Prelimbic cortex; IL, infralimbic cortex.

Fig 3. Effects of intra-PFC infusions of the GABA_A receptor antagonist bicuculline on performance in the 5-choice serial reaction time task. Intra-PFC infusions of

bicuculline (n=7) decreased accuracy ($P < .05$) (A) and increased both omissions ($P < .01$) (B) and the reward retrieval latency ($P < .05$) (D). Bicuculline infusions did not affect premature responses (C). $**P < .01$, $*P < .05$ from 0.0 (Bonferroni Multiple Comparison test).

Fig 4. Effects of intra-PFC infusions of the GABA_A receptor agonist muscimol on performance in the 5-choice serial reaction time task. Intra-PFC infusions of muscimol (n=8) increased premature responses ($P < .05$) (C), but did not affect accuracy (A), omissions (B) or the reward retrieval latency (D). $*P < .05$ from 0.0 (Bonferroni Multiple Comparison test).

Fig 5. Effects of modulating cortical GABA_A receptor activation on locomotor activity. Rats were habituated to the chambers for 30 min, infused with bicuculline (BMI; 25.0 ng/0.5 μ l/side; n=7), muscimol (MUS; 50.0 ng/0.5 μ l/side; n=8) or their respective vehicles (VEH) and tested for an additional 30 min. Inset shows total activity during the habituation and test sessions. BMI did not affect locomotor activity (A). During the test, MUS decreased the total locomotor activity ($P < .01$) (B), but there was not a significant time X condition interaction. $**P < .01$ from VEH (main effect of Condition).

Fig 6. Effects of modulating cortical GABA_A receptor activation on intra-cranial self-stimulation thresholds. Representative rate-frequency curves from rats (n=10) that received intra-PFC infusions of either A) bicuculline (BMI; 25.0 ng/0.5 μ l/side)

or D) muscimol (MUS; 50.0 ng/0.5 μ l/side) in comparison to when they were treated with vehicle (VEH). BMI (25.0 ng/0.5 μ l/side) decreased the threshold for responding ($P < .01$) (B) without affecting maximum rates of responding (C). MUS did not affect either the threshold of responding (E) or the maximum rate of responding (F). ** $P < .01$ from 0.0 (Bonferroni Multiple Comparison test).